Systems Appraisal Feedback Report

An AQIP Pathway Report Completed In Response to a Systems Portfolio Submitted by

LARAMIE COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE

February 23, 2015

The Higher Learning Commission

A Commission of the North Central Association

Contents

| I. Reflective Overview ÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÄ | 2 |
|--|---|
| II. Strategic Challenges Analysis ÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅ | 3 |
| III. AQIP Category Feedback ÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅ | 4 |
| IV. Accreditation Evidence Screening ÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅÅ | 8 |
| V. Quality of the Systems Portfolio | |

I. Reflective Overview

Upon completing its review of the Institutional Overview and Category Introductions included in the Systems Portfolio, the Systems Appraisal team formulates its understanding of mission, and the constituents served. This understanding is conveyed in the following Consensus Reflective Statement. Additional team insights are also summarized here in relation to the six AQIP Pathway categoriesg]T&Ty1 0 0 1 157.1 607.06 Tm[)]T&T EMC /

is more systematic and aligned. The result of this has been the creation of a new continuous improvement model at the College focusing on leadership, planning, resource allocation, operational structure, inclusiveness, and assessment.

5. Knowledge Management & Resource Stewardship:

III. AQIP Category Feedback

As the Systems Appraisal team reviewed the Systems Portfolio, it determined for each AQIP Pathway category the stages of maturity for the

are described in Appendix A. Through use of the maturity stages and its analysis of reported improvements, the team offers below summary feedback for each AQIP Pathway category. This section identifies areas for further improvement and also possible improvement strategies. In addition to the summary information presented here,

Results, and Improvement items included in the Systems Portfolio. Appendix B is structured according to the New Systems Portfolio Structure and AQIP Categories document which is available

The summary feedback below, and the detailed feedback offered in Appendix B, is based only

Category Three: Valuing Employees

Respect for people and a willingness to invest in them are two principles of high-performing organizations. LCCC has implemented processes related to hiring, started a New Employee Orientation, created a Center for Teaching and Learning and a New Faculty Learning program, and hired a professional-development specialist. Yet it is unclear what evidence led to these changes and how they were necessarily in the best interest of the College and its employees.

LCCC has an opportunity to strengthen its evaluation and recognition of employees. Most hiring and performance review processes are consistent with industry standards, but its evaluation efforts suggest a more reactive approach. There appears to be an absence of clear processes and results, suggesting that this category could be a higher priority at the College. In addition, across Category 3, the College might benefit from the development of internal targets and external benchmarks, along with the establishment of baseline data for future comparisons. LCCC is commended for taking a hard look at its recent efforts and recognizing that significant work lies ahead.

Category Four: Planning and Leading

The College has initiated a number of process and organizational initiatives related to Planning and Leading, demonstrating its dedication to continuous quality improvement. The adoption of the Noel-Levitz Employee Satisfaction Survey will provide comparative data from peer institutions and lessen the reliance on internal comparisons. However, the College has an opportunity to add measures to evaluate the effectiveness of its processes and to analyze them. Throughout this category, it was apparent that the LCCC needed to address formative and summative evaluation processes. The College is complimented for its efforts that have begun and is urged to continue the intensification of its efforts in order to rise to a higher level of process maturity. It is commended for its redesign of its budgetary processes, its implementation of a strategic plan, and its attention to communication.

Although LCCC did describe the policies and which parties were involved in the initiatives, the descriptions could benefit from additional details about how the initiatives were executed and how the results were evaluated. Additionally, the College could benefit from an increased emphasis upon the role of campus leadership and its plans for Planning and Leading initiatives when facing the departure of key personnel.

Category Five: Knowledge Management & Resource Stewardship

results to determine the next steps. The College needs to exercise the institutional discipline and leadership that will

appears to have strengths in this area and with a more complete presentation of results in the future, this criterion will show stronger evidence of being met.

V. Quality of the

APPENDIX A

| | Stages in Systems I | Maturity: <i>Processes</i> | |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Reacting | Systematic | Aligned | Integrated |

remain relevant and aligned with student, workplace, and societal needs

program review and program self-evaluation to ensure

| | licensure or certification exams. There is an opportunity to establish |
|--|--|
| | outcome measures relevant across all programs and/or examining |
| | measures over time. |

1I3. Based on 1R3, what improvements have been implemented or will be implemented in the next 1 years.

Evaluation of Improvement Efforts

The College has planned a variety of improvements over the next few years. Policies and procedures will be developed and implemented, clear academic pathways will be established, and program articulation agreements will be established. One improvement that will be of critical importance is the implementation of the surveying tool to query internal and external stakeholders and to develop external benchmarks. An opportunity exists to establish specific improvement plans addressing the interpretation of its results that include external benchmarks.

1P4. *Academic Program Quality* focuses on ensuring quality across all programs, modalities, and locations. Describe the processes for ensuring quality academic programming. This includes, but is not limited to, descriptions of key processes for:

| Process | Team Comments on Process Maturity and Improvement |
|------------------------------|---|
| Determining and | LCCC has a systematic process for determining and communicating |
| communicating the | the preparation required of students for curricula, programs, and |
| preparation required of | courses. Utilizing three levels for entry, the College in coordination, |
| students for the specific | with the other community colleges in the state, determines entry |
| curricula, programs, | requirements. |
| courses, and learning they | |
| will pursue | |
| Evaluating and ensuring | The LCCC uses the ASC and its program review process to ensure a |
| program rigor for all | systematic process that maintains quality and stability of all academic |
| modalities, locations, | programs. The process also includes feedback from businesses and |
| consortia, and when offering | advisory committees. It is unclear if this feedback mechanism is a |
| dual-credit programs | formal or informal assessment, and the process for how material is |
| | communicated to and used by others is less clear. |
| Awarding prior learning and | LCCC has a systematic , detailed procedure for awarding transfer |
| transfer credits | credit and credit for prior learning, accepting credit from accredited |
| | institutions, evaluating credits earned at international institutions, and |
| | evaluating experience. While prior learning was not addressed, it is |
| | assumed that Administrative Procedure 3.18P outlines this process. |
| Selecting, implementing, | While process elements are described (such as external stakeholder |
| and maintaining specialized | involvement, resource allocation, and director oversight), a clear |
| accreditation(s) | process for specialized accreditations is not provided. Systematic |
| | rather than reacting processes could ensure key goals and strategies |
| | are met and improve coordination and communication among units. |
| Assessing the level of | LCCC assesses student outcomes data through the analysis of |
| outcomes attainment by | passing rates on certification examinations and licensure exams, |
| graduates at all levels | employer survey results, transfer data, and alumni surveys. The |
| | |
| | attainment. To move from this reacting phase, it may be beneficial for |
| | LCCC to explore the benefits and ways to assess the overall |
| Out of the | performance of its graduates generally across the College. |
| Selecting the | LCCC has a systematic process for ensuring program rigor across all |
| tools/methods/instruments | modalities. Course and program standards are determined through |
| used to assess program | faculty collaboration, documentation in the MCOR, and reviews by the |
| rigor across all modalities | ASC. It is critically important that an evaluation plan be established for |
| | the new program review process and for evaluating the effectiveness |
| Other identified process | of selected measures. |
| Other identified processes | |

1R4 What are the results for determining the quality of academic programs?

| Results | Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement |
|--|--|
| Outcomes/measures tracked and tools utilized | LCCC provides limited results to address academic quality across all program, modalities, and locations. For example, results are provided for the common assessment used in SPAN 1010. The results are summarized rather than segmented by modality or location. To move from a systematic stage, LCCC has an opportunity to develop processes to ensure that the information collected is used campuswide in decision-making and to develop measures that would facilitate benchmarking the performance of its programs against peer institutions. |
| Summary results of measures (including tables and figures when possible) | Data are aggregated and presented with historical trends, but there is no formalized system described for encouraging any commonalities in rubrics or scoring systems used across programs, making comparisons of summary data difficult. Additionally, Figure 1R4-2 illustrates widely varying results when compared over time that need to include much more detail and explanation to be interpreted properly. This use of results illustrates systematic level of maturity. |
| | for more KPIs than the matriculation rate. |
| Comparison of results with internal targets and external | LCCC appears to have recent improvements in its comparison of |
| benchmarks | n opportunity to present additional comparative results beyond the matriculation rate. The KPIs have the potential of generating a lot of data, and an opportunity exists to establish processes to analyze, interpret, and use these data. The College is at the precipice of moving from this reacting phase to a systematic one. |
| Interpretation of results and insights gained | LCCC has made efforts in data collection and archival. The College acknowledges that it is in a capacity-building phase for program quality analysis, placing it at the reacting stage interpretation of its program analysis is that some programs are effective and others have room for improvement. The College has an opportunity to draw more specific conclusions that would lead to more actionable initiatives and specific improvements. Given the complexity of the system, LCCC may need to pay attention to how results are analyzed and presented to internal and external stakeholders across its units. |

114. Based on 1R4, what improvements have been implemented or will be implemented in the next 1 3 years.

Evaluation of Improvement Efforts

In the 2014 2015 year, the College will implement a revised program review process that will be designed to encourage the alignment and integration of needs across campus and with external entities. The SLA subcommittee is refining institutional assessment plans to ensure alignment among the new program review, assessment plans, and methods. To help all stakeholders to understand the new processes, logic models or process maps could help in communicating these important quality goals. An opportunity exists to add internal targets and external benchmarks.

1P5. *Academic Student Support* focuses on systems designed to help students be successful. Describe the processes for developing and delivering academic support to students. This includes, but is not limited to, descriptions of key processes for:

| Process | Team Comments on Process Maturity and Improvement |
|--|---|
| Identifying underprepared and at-risk students, and determining their academic support needs | LCCC has a systematic process for identifying at-risk students and determining their needs that relies on placement results and self-reported student characteristics. Opportunities exist to establish a process for defining what at-risk means at LCCC, determining what strategies for improvements, and sharing these strategies across |
| Deploying academic support services to help students select and successfully complete courses and programs | units. LCCC employs a holistic advising approach where students work with a single advisor who is able to reinforce connections between the students and academic support services. LCCC offers the Student Success Center, a writing and communications center, the Math Lab, and a tutoring center. Online tutoring is offered through SmartThinking. All services are voluntary and no systematic process is apparent, indicating a reacting process. Opportunity exists to implement an evaluation protocol for all academic support services to identify how . This could be done to determine unmet needs or gaps in services offered as well as mandating advising, orientation, and tutoring to students who are not college ready. |
| Ensuring faculty are available for student inquiry | LCCC requires each faculty member to maintain office hours, to share that information through course syllabi, and to issue mid-course grades. To move from this systematic approach, opportunities include having faculty post e-office hours on the learning management platform or other media and offering students the ability to evaluate faculty on the effectiveness of those office hours (i.e. available times, faculty availability through other mechanisms, etc.). |

| retention, persistence and program completion | retention, persistence, and completion. However, processes for determining targets, how to improve upon them, and how the data are employed to set further improvements are not well defined, making this a reacting process. |
|--|---|
| Selecting the tools/methods/instruments used to evaluate the effectiveness and comprehensiveness of support services | The C by the numbers of students served and grades; the library assess usage and preferred media; while the Holistic Advising area is developing a comprehensive assessment plan with learning outcomes and accountability. However, processes by which instruments are selected or how they are evaluated for effectiveness are not clearly defined, placing the College at the reacting level. |
| Other identified processes | |

1R5 What are the results for determining the quality of academic support services?

| Results | Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement |
|--|---|
| Outcomes/measures tracked and tools utilized | LCCC relies on a limited number of indirect measures to assess its academic support services, including developmental course placement and course success. This indicates a reacting level of maturity. Given the importance of academic support services in the current climate of increased accountability, LCCC could benefit from broader and deeper examination of academic support services data, including using more direct measures related to specific services. An opportunity to improve exists by identifying outcome measures that align to support services goals, and formalizing the process for examining and using that data. |
| | |

| educational offerings and services | groups. The systematic processes for doing so include surveys, focus groups, published data, and meetings. An opportunity exists for the College to develop an explicit set of criteria (a rubric, perhaps) for determining if a potential student group is substantial enough for its educational offerings. The process could be evaluated periodically to ensure alignment with key goals, strategies, and lessons learned. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Meeting changing student needs | The College has a systematic process for students to communicate their needs to the institution and for the institution to communicate its responsiveness to the student body. Student advisory boards were created to gain input on key services. Further, the Board of Trustees created a Student Trustee position to lend a voice in College leadership. To increase the maturity of these processes, the College could demonstrate that lessons learned from student voices are coordinated across the institution to meet institutional goals and strategies. |

Identifying and supporting student subgroups with distinctive needs (e.g., seniors, commuters, distance learners, military

| Meeting the changing needs of key stakeholders | engagement in a partnership. LCCC has an opportunity to describe the criteria that would suggest that a stakeholder group would be considered a key stakeholder. LCCC uses an aligned process for meeting the changing needs of stakeholders that includes assessment, planning, budgeting, and deployment. This purposeful planning for activities responds to the identified needs of stakeholders. Furthermore, the process is |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| Building and maintaining relationships with partners | preparation, workforce development, and community development. Building and maintaining relationships through active engagement on community boards and advisory committees helps the College and its partners align their relationships for the betterment of the College, its students, and the community. |
|--|---|
| Selecting tools/methods/instruments to assess partnership effectiveness | LCCC notes that the tools selected to assess partnership effectiveness varies with the type of partnership. It appears to have a systematic process for selecting the tool, based on the partner. To strengthen the maturity, efforts to coordinate and communicate among institutional units and partners may be beneficial in addition to creating a common tool for partner identification. |
| Evaluating the degree to which collaborations and partnerships are effective | The College employs a systematic process of formative and summative evaluation to determine the effectiveness of the collaborations. The data provided through these processes is derived from strategic plan measures, additional input from governmental agencies, and other educational sources. |
| Other identified processes | |

2R5. What are the results for determining if students possess the knowledge, skills, and abilities that are expected at each degree level?

| Results | Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement |
|--|--|
| Outcomes/measures tracked and tools utilized | LCCC tracks outcomes and measures in a systematic way. A variety of indicators are used, including the KPIs and institutional report card. It is in the process of identifying more formative, strategy specific measures that determine effective partnerships. The College has an opportunity to identify some common measures of performance with a view to comparative evaluations of future results. |
| Summary results of measures (including tables and figures when possible) | KPIs and the report card allow LCCC to provide summary results to institutional stakeholders. However, it is unclear if results are shared with partners for their input on continuous improvement efforts. Partnership involvement could increase the maturity level from reacting to systematic. |
| Comparison of results with internal targets and external benchmarks | The College has established a KPI system for comparison of internal targets and uses statewide reports for external benchmarks. LCCC did not provide actual data which represents a reacting process for gathering and comparing targets and benchmarks. |
| Interpretation of results and insights gained | Although not reported in the summary of results, LCCC indicates that concurrent and dual enrollment has declined, warranting further investigation. To support a systematic rather than a reacting level of maturity, LCCC could also report which institutional units were involved in the determination and how these units might collaborate on improvements |

AQIP Category Three

Ensuring the acquisition of sufficient numbers of staff to provide student support services

It is unclear how LCCC ensures that

| Process | Team Comments on Process Maturity and Improvement |
|--|--|
| Designing performance | LCCC notes that it uses Administrative Policy 4150 to guide annual |
| evaluation systems for all | evaluations of all benefited employees. However, processes for |
| employees | designing performance evaluation systems are not described, |
| | placing this in the reacting stage. It is unclear who is responsible for |
| | this overall process and how changes are made to it. Additionally, |
| | the College has an opportunity to develop a process for evaluating |
| | employees who do not receive benefits. |
| Soliciting input from and | LCCC is committed to a systematic pattern of shared governance. |
| communicating expectations | The College Council was created to represent all College entities |
| to faculty, staff, and | and to solicit input. Additionally, the College offers regular town hall |
| administrators | meetings. For individuals, the performance evaluation process |
| | allows for dialogue between the employee and supervisor. LCCC |
| | appears to have several opportunities, including the use of surveys |
| | and other mechanisms to allow employees to anonymously provide |
| | input, regularly scheduled ways for broader communication with |
| All I | more employees, and the development of an evaluation component. |
| Aligning the evaluation | LCCC is currently making changes to its Performance Management |
| system with institutional | Policy. The College is soliciting feedback as it redesigns the |
| objectives for both | evaluation system to include annual goals to contribute to strategic |
| instructional and non- | and operational objectives. An opportunity exists to move beyond |
| instructional programs and | the reacting stage by developing explicit, predictable processes to |
| Services | achieve efficiencies and make progress on institutional goals. LCCC systematically evaluates all benefitted employees under |
| Utilizing established | Administrative Policy 4150 and commonly accepted practices. |
| institutional policies and | Annually, deans observe and consult with faculty. In addition, deans |
| procedures to regularly evaluate all faculty, staff, and | review student evaluations each semester, and faculty establish |
| administrators | annual performance goals submitted to and assessed by the deans. |
| administrators | Opportunities exist to clarify how adjunct faculty are evaluated, to |
| | develop a performance evaluation process for non-benefitted |
| | employees, and to share lessons learned and integrate evaluations |
| | with institutional goals and employee recognition for administration, |
| | faculty, and staff. |
| Establishing employee | LCCC describes a partially systematic process to establish |
| recognition, compensation, | employee recognition, compensation, and benefit systems. |
| and benefit systems to | Compensation for faculty and classified staff is determined with a |
| promote retention and high | systematic column and step scale. Market-based pay ranges are |
| performance | used to calculate salaries, which are influenced by experience and |
| • | , |

| engagement. However, the College does not make clear how it addresses employee satisfaction beyond these standard practices. |
|--|
| To move from this reacting stage, there may be an opportunity for |
| LCCC to analyze what its employees value (through anonymous |
| surveys or other methods) and then align results with benefits. |

institution?

| Results | Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Outcomes/measures tracked | LCCC acknowledges that outcomes and measures related to the |
| and tools utilized | effectiveness of the new HR employee evaluation processes have |
| | not been established. Thus, this results component is at the |
| | reacting stage of maturity. The College has an opportunity to |
| | establish specific, objective, and quantifiable measures of its |
| | performance for evaluating and recognizing its employees, including |
| | employee satisfaction input. |
| Summary results of | LCCC reports no results and is therefore at a reacting level. |
| measures (including tables | |
| and figures when possible) | |
| Comparison of results with | LCCC reports no results and is therefore reacting . |
| internal targets and external | |
| benchmarks | |
| Interpretation of results and | LCCC acknowledges that it has significant work to do in order to |
| insights gained | |

| Ensuring that instructors are current in instructional content in their disciplines and pedagogical processes | LCCC states that the CTL coordinates faculty development but does not fully describe the processes that it uses, indicating a systematic stage of maturity. The College has an opportunity to describe how the College determines development priorities, how candidates are selected, how development resources are allocated, how faculty development is implemented, and how |
|--|---|
| Supporting student support staff members to increase their skills and knowledge in their areas of expertise (e.g. advising, financial aid, etc.) | LCCC uses meetings, internal training, and participation at external training to increase skills and knowledge of its student support staff. Training appears to be on a voluntary basis. An opportunity exists to move from this reacting stage by describing how the College determines development priorities, how candidates are selected, how resources are allocated, and how processes are evaluated. |
| Aligning employee professional development activities with institutional objectives | Earlier LCCC described numerous professional development opportunities for faculty and staff, including both internal and external training. Yet the response here appears to only address external training requests. While these requests must be linked to strategic goals, it is unclear how other professional development activities address institutional goals. An opportunity exists to move from this reacting stage by designing processes to align the described professional-development programming with institutional objectives. |

3R3. What are the results for determining if employees are assisted and supported in their professional development?

| Results | Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement |
|--|---|
| Outcomes/measures tracked and tools utilized | LCCC uses the Campus Climate Survey to track satisfaction with professional development. Other measures are listed, however, it is unclear which tools are used. An opportunity exists to move from the reacting phase by developing outcomes and tools that track participation, satisfaction, learning, and implementation as well as needs assessments. |
| Summary results of measures (including tables and figures when possible) | LCCC reports that 63% of employees either agree or strongly agree that they are satisfied with the professional development opportunities. In light of the other measures, mentioned above that LCCC tracks, it is not clear why it does not present more results. An opportunity exists to move from this reacting stage by reporting and analyzing trend data for employee satisfaction and other measures. |
| Comparison of results with internal targets and external benchmarks | Although LCCC maintains an expectation that 80% of employees will agree or strongly agree with their satisfaction related to professional development opportunities, no other internal targets or external benchmarks were provided. The process is reacting in that limited internal comparisons are drawn and no external targets are identified. |
| Interpretation of results and insights gained | There is no discussion of how this information is interpreted or used in the decision-making process at LCCC, indicating a reacting stage of maturity. |

3l3. Based on 3R3, what improvements have been implemented or will be implemented in the next 1 years.

| Evaluation of Improvement Efforts |
|-----------------------------------|

LCCC is striving to create a culture of employee development and has many projects in place.

| directly to KPIs. The Budget Resource Allocation Committee also |
|---|
| requires justification rationale for new resource allocations. |

ission, vision,

and values?

| | team members with other external stakeholders participating in the environmental scanning process. However, it is unclear how the information is gathered from the external stakeholders and how data collection supports engagement. An assessment and revision of the strategic planning process would assist in moving LCCC from the systematic stage of maturity. |
|---|---|
| Aligning operations with the | The College employs a process to align operations with the vision, and values. Through nine functional |
| values | indicators, the College is able to assess how well the institution is meeting its mission, vision, and values. The overall process |
| | mission, vision, and values. |
| Aligning efforts across departments, divisions, and colleges for optimum effectiveness and efficiency | LCCC systematically employs the College Council, the consultative feedback process, and strategic planning to involve a broad range of constituents. The consultative feedback process enables the campus-wide distribution of policies and procedures and enables the collection of feedback through a campus portal. However, the institution did not elaborate on its processes to align the effectiveness of evaluating the implemented procedures. |
| Capitalizing on opportunities and institutional strengths and countering the impact of institutional weaknesses and potential threats | The College employs a systematic eleven-step strategic planning process that includes environmental scanning and identification of issues. A SWOT analysis provided valuable input on the effectiveness of the newly formed goals and strategies. The College has a great opportunity to develop a process for revising its strategic plan during the years between major planning efforts. |
| Creating and implementing strategies and action plans that maximize current | The College employs a systematic process for creating and implementing strategies and action plans. Through usage of a |
| resources and meet future needs | culminates in the development of broad over-arching goals. However, more attention could be devoted to the process of implementing strategies and action plans and to the evaluation process to determine the effectiveness of the adopted procedures. |
| Other identified processes | |

operational plans?

| Results | Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Outcomes/measures tracked and tools utilized | LCCC conducted a reacting strategic planning process that resulted in the revamping of its mission, vision, and values. It employed a SWOT analysis to evaluate the effectiveness of its newly developed goals and strategies. LCCC has the opportunity to more effectively analyze the results achieved in its review processes. | | |
| Summary results of measures (including tables and figures when possible) | The College employed a reacting process to determine the successes and opportunities provided by its review of operational plans and its four broad over-arching goals. The results were calculated through the use of a scoring rubric that produced a ranking of the strategies. It would benefit from a more detailed analysis of its summarized results. | | |
| Comparison of results with internal targets and external benchmarks | LCCC has not reported any comparative data with internal targets or external benchmarks. The described reacting processes cite the development and implementation of its strategic plan, but | | |

Laramie County Community College

| | processes are evaluated for effectiveness. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Collaborating across all units | The College abides by a reacting process to promote | | | |
| to ensure the maintenance of | collaboration. The ASC maintains high academic standards that | | | |
| high academic standards | lead to student success consistent with institutional mission. | | | |
| | However, aside from mentioning subcommittees and a joint | | | |
| | cademic | | | |
| | standards is not described. LCCC has the opportunity to more adequately describe its processes for collaboration along with its rationale for the joint chairmanship. | | | |
| Providing effective leadership to all institutional stakeholders | The College conducts a reacting process to provide leadership to | | | |

| about your programs, requirements, faculty and staff, costs to students, control, and accreditation relationships readily and clearly available to all constituents | providing public access to appropriate college information. The College abides by a systematic process, employing a wide variety of media resources to communicate information about its programs, requirements, faculty, staff, costs, control, and accreditation status. Additionally, EaglesEye is utilized to post centralized, readily available data. |
|---|--|
| Other identified | |
| processes | |

4R4. What are the results for ensuring institutional integrity?

Results

Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement

Laramie County Community College

Results

Outcomes/measures tracked and tools utilized

Evaluation of Results and Systems Improvement

The **systematic** data policy that LCCC has implemented is important to the Col because this data is incorporated into various analyses and evaluations throughout the College such as rubrics, KPI analysis, development of strategic priorities, and budgeting. However, it is unclear if there are any specific measures along which LCCC can evaluate success in determining how data, information, and performance results are used in decision-making at all levels and in all parts of the institution, beyond the use of institutional KPI data. There is an opportunity to improve the maturity level of this use of data by institutionally committing to the development and

| Maintaining fiscal, physical, and technological | LCCC recognizes that the institution is moving from a reacting process to a more systematic process by aligning budgeting to strategic priorities |
|---|--|
| infrastructures sufficient to support operations. | management processes include an acquisition procedure to support the maintenance of physical and technological infrastructure. An emphasis on use of campus feedback for decision-making will propel the College to |
| Setting goals aligned | more of a systematic state. The College utilizes a systematic budget process that assigns resources |
| with the institutional mission, resources, opportunities, and emerging needs. | to projects that achieve organizational goals and ensure that educational goals are met. Alignment is determined in light of impact on mission, strategic plan, and performance indicators, using a rubric that favors educational purposes. However, the process for developing and setting these operational goals aligned with the institutional mission is still unclear, representing an opportunity to improve the maturity level of this process. |
| Allocating and | |

Allocating and assigning resources to achieve organizational

| Maintaining a | systematic process to |
|------------------------|---|
| technological | includes training and rell out of your settings. |
| infrastructure that is | includes training and roll-out of new software, security tracking, |
| reliable, secure and | standardized equipment and software platforms, and regular ITS audits to |
| user-friendly. | ensure up-to-date hardware and software. The College has instituted a |
| | policy that requires that all equipment and technology purchases must be approved by the ITS office before purchasing. It also utilizes a computer |
| | replacement policy that ensures that no computer is more than 5 years |
| | old. An opportunity exists to improve the maturity level of this process by |
| | developing more ITS processes that specifically address whether |
| | infrastructure is reliable, secure, and user friendly. |
| Maintaining a physical | LCCC has developed a systematic maintenance process using a work |
| infrastructure that is | order management and preventative maintenance system. It also |
| reliable, secure and | maintains a Campus Master Plan that incorporates the campus building |
| user-friendly. | condition index and an annual review, which are used to prioritize projects |
| | and to update the deferred maintenance schedule. The College has made |
| | substantial improvements recently to the process for maintaining physical |
| | infrastructure, but there is an opportunity to improve the maturity level of |
| | this process by more regularly, and in a pre-determined way, scheduling |
| | the maintenance of its physical plant. |
| Managing risks to | LCCC employs a systematic process of risk management. The C |
| ensure operational | cross-representative Risk Management Committee is responsible for |
| stability, including | communicating compliance requirements, evaluating risks and loss, |
| emergency | addressing emergency and safety concerns, and developing policies to |
| preparedness. | avoid claims, accidents, and losses. The College has also undertaken an |
| | audit of its insurance coverages to ensure that it is adequately protected from all possible incidents. However, it is unclear if this was a one-time |
| | event or a regular institutional process. Although it does appear that the |
| | work in this area is a collection of stand-alone efforts, rather than an |
| | integrated risk management system. An opportunity exists to develop an |
| | OV |
| | risks more comprehensively. |
| Other identified | · |

processes

and external benchmarks

Index score is in the above review zone by HLC Annual Institutional Update standards. It is also above average for all ITS Resource categories compared to other Wyoming Community Colleges, and, at average for total IT personnel assigned to support desktops and servers. In general, ITS statewide survey results comparing LCCC to its peers in the WCCC system

Projects, Quality Check-Up, and Strategy Forums. operations.
Ensuring the institution learns from its experiences with CQI initiatives.

APPENDIX C Criteria for Accreditation & Core Component Evidence Screening

| The state of the s | | |
|--|--|---|
| 2. The mission document or document or documents are current and explain the extent of the the various aspects of its mission, such as instruction, scholarship, research, application of research, creative works, clinical service, public service, economic development, and religious or cultural purpose. 3. The mission documents identify the nature, scope, and intended constituents of the higher education programs and services the institution provides. | are academic preparation, transfer preparation, workforce development, and community development. Together they represent the areas of the College and indicate how the Mission is leading each in the same direction. Overall, students. It recognizes that, as an open access institution, its students come from various backgrounds and, once they become students at the College, LCCC is committed to helping all and transforming lives. | |
| 1.C. The institution understands the relationship between its mission and the diversity of society. 1. The institution addresses its role in a multicultural society. processes and activities reflect attention to human diversity as appropriate within its mission and for the constituencies it serves. | LCCC identifies six student sub-groups and ten external stakeholder groups along with the many ways that it determines the needs of each. It has adopted institutional learning outcomes, including Human Culture, to more appropriately address its role in a multicultural society. The College has implemented efforts that include a prescribed placement process to ensure that all students are treated equally and has employed bilingual instructors in order to diversify its faculty. It has developed a comprehensive peer review process to measure performance and improvements using feedback from advisory boards, accreditation results, and KPI progress in order to assess whether its programs are meeting stakeholder needs. | ✓ Strong, Clear, and well presented ☐ Adequate, but could be improved ☐ Unclear or incomplete |
| Criteria 1.D. The demonstrates commitment to the public good. 1. Actions and decisions reflect an understanding that in its educational role the institution serves the public, not solely the | LCCC communicates its mission, vision, and values through its primary and most visible communication channels that include Board policy, LCCC website, strategic plan, catalog, and every email sent by cabinet members. It draws attention to the importance of its mission, vision, and values by emphasizing their linkage to the major realms of its work, namely academic preparation, transfer preparation, workforce development, and community development. The | □ Strong, Clear, and well presented ☑ Adequate, but could be improved □ Unclear or incomplete |

Laramie County Community College

| regard to its programs, requirements, faculty and | information about its programs, requirements, faculty, staff, costs, control, and accreditations to | could be improved |
|---|---|------------------------|
| staff, costs to students, control, and accreditation | its constituents. | ☐Unclear or incomplete |
| relationships. | | |

Laramie County Community College

- 1. Courses and programs are current and require levels of performance by students appropriate to the degree or certificate awarded.
- 2. The institution articulates and differentiates learning goals for its undergraduate, graduate, postbaccalaureate, postgraduate, and certificate programs.
- 3. T program quality and learning goals are consistent across all modes of delivery and all locations (on the main campus, at additional locations, by distance delivery, as dual credit, through contractual or consortial arrangements, or any other modality).

Commission. Preparatory requirements for entry to college-level work are determined collaboratively among the community colleges in the State of Wyoming. Other requirements are determined by the faculty based on curriculum mapping, course and program competencies, articulation agreements, and input from accreditors, advisory boards, subject-matter experts, and employers. Requirements are

oversight of the curriculum and expectations for student performance; establishment of academic credentials for instructional staff; involvement in assessment of student learning.

- 2. All instructors are appropriately credentialed, including those in dual credit, contractual, and consortial programs.
- 3. Instructors are evaluated regularly in accordance with established institutional policies and procedures.
- 4. The institution has processes and resources for assuring that instructors are current in their disciplines and adept in their teaching roles; it supports their professional development.
- 5. Instructors are

and contribute to the educational experience of its students.

ongoing assessment of student learning.

- 1. The institution has clearly stated goals for student learning and effective processes for assessment of student learning and achievement of learning goals.
- 2. The institution assesses achievement of the learning outcomes that it claims for its curricular and co-curricular programs.
- 3. The institution uses the information gained from assessment to improve student learning.

processes and methodologies to assess student learning reflect good practice, including the substantial participation of faculty and other instructional staff members. 2. The institution collects and analyzes information on student retention, persistence, and completion of its programs. 3. The institution uses information on student retention, persistence, and completion of programs to make improvements as warranted by the data. processes and methodologies for collecting and analyzing information on student retention, persistence, and completion of programs reflect good practice. (Institutions are not required to use IPEDS definitions in their determination of persistence or completion rates. Institutions are encouraged to choose measures that are suitable to their student populations, but institutions are accountable for the

validity of their measures.)

constituencies including its governing board, administration, faculty, staff, and students in the

2. The governing board is knowledgeable about the institution; it provides oversight for the

academic policies and practices and meets its legal and fiduciary responsibilities.

3. The institution enables the involvement of its administration, faculty, staff, and students in setting academic requirements, policy, and processes through effective structures for contribution and collaborative effort.

modification of programs, curricula, and student

processes are supported by its belief in shared governance, which is shown in the membership and responsibilities of the College Council. In addition, consultative feedback and strategic planning processes involve internal and external stakeholders.

5.C. The institution engages in systematic and integrated planning.

A strategic planning team leads the planning process that takes place every 5 to 7 years. This

- 1. The institution allocates its resources in alignment with its mission and priorities.
- 2. The institution links its processes for assessment of student learning, evaluation of operations, planning, and budgeting.
- 3. The planning process encompasses the institution as a whole and considers the perspectives of internal and external constituent groups.
- 4. The institution plans on the basis of a sound

understanding of its current capacity. Institutional plans anticipate the possible impact of fluctuations in

of revenue, such as enrollment, the